



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,421	03/31/2004	Syed F.A. Hossainy	050623.00359	7688
45159	7590	06/03/2010		
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY LLP 1 MARITIME PLAZA SUITE 300 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111			EXAMINER	
			HARTLEY, MICHAEL G	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			1618	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
06/03/2010	PAPER			

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/815,421	HOSSAINY, SYED F.A.	
<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>		
MICHAEL G. HARTLEY	1618		

### ***Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary***

### All Participants:

**Status of Application:** allowed

(1) MICHAEL G. HARTLEY.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Zhaoyang Li (Appl. repr.).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 May 2010

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

EXHIBIT CROWN OF DEMONSTRATED:  Yes  
If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I

#### Rejection(s) discussed:

#### Claims discussed:

#### Prior art documents discussed:

## Part II.

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

### Part III

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Michael G. Hartley/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1618

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The SPE called to suggest clarification amendments to permit the allowed case to issue. Claims 39 and 53 were dependent on canceled claims and claims 42-50 were neither allowed or canceled-thus the status of these claims was unclear. The changes included in the examiners amendment were agreed upon to clarify the allowed claims so the application will issue. .